

UNITED STORY DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/425,23	4 10/25/	99 RABIE	Н	4320-91
-		٦		EXAMINER
001059		IM62/0608		
BERESKIN	AND PARR		POPC	NICS.R
40 KING S	TREET WEST	40TH FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 4	01	• .		
TORONTO O	N M5H 3Y2		1723	
CANADA		AIR MAIL	DATE MAILED:	_
		•		06/08/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

1- File Copy

· ·	Application No. Applicant(s)	401	
Office Action Summary	09/425,234 Kabie	et al	
Omoc Addon Gammary	Examiner Group Art I		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet beneath the corresponder	nce address—	
Peri d for Reply	3~X		
Peri of for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE JOUNYS MONTH(S) FROM THE	MAILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and I not period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defar a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set 	reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be coult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm	onsidered timely.	
Status /	1		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on2	1/80		
☐ This action is FINAL.	1		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 	pt for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits i 335 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	is closed in	
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in th	e application.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	·	
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.		
	to to one other days		
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Laim(s) □ Laim(s)	are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction.	iction or election	
	are subject to restr requirement.	iction or election	
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ing Review, PTO-948.	iction or election	
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.	iction or election	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.	iction or election	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed onis/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.	iction or election	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dravent D	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.	iction or election	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dravent The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ing Review, PTO-948 isapproveddisapproved. ected to by the Examiner.	iction or election	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.	ing Review, PTO-948 isapproveddisapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been	iction or election	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Trity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	ing Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been	iction or election	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob the drawing(s) filed on is/are ob the specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur	ing Review, PTO-948 isapproveddisapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been aber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	iction or election	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	ing Review, PTO-948 isapproveddisapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been aber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	iction or election	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur received in this national stage application from the	ing Review, PTO-948 isapproveddisapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been aber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ing Review, PTO-948 isapproveddisapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been aber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	13 pplication, PTO-15	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. ____5___

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species	Described in the specification beginning at page:		
I	Page 9, line 13		
II	Page 17, line 18		

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement <u>must include</u> an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/425,234

Art Unit: 1723

2. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete **must include** an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Robert Popovics whose telephone number is (703) 308-0684, and who can normally be reached at this number from 9:30 A.M. through 6:00 P.M. (EST) M-F.

Robert James Popovics
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1723

rjp June 7, 2000